| R  | OUTING   | 3 AND        | RECORE    | SHEET   |       |
|--|----------|--------------|-----------|---|-------|
| SUBJECT: (Optional)                                      |          |              |           | •   |       |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                    | 1 Space  | Remote       | Sensing   | to the Private Sector   |       |
| FROM:  |          |              | EXTENSION | DCI/ICS 83-4256   |       |
| Eloise R. Page, Deputy Din<br>Intelligence Community Sta | aff      |              |           | DATE 4 March 1983   |       |
| TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)     | DATE     |              | OFFICER'S | COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) |       |
|  | RECEIVED | FORWARDED    |           |   |       |
| 1.<br>Executive Registry                                 |          |              |           |   |       |
| 2. JRC   | 14 M     | AR 1983      | 1         | _   |       |
| DDC I N  |          | - 1303       |           | PUT ON CLARK AGENDA   |       |
| 3.   |          |              |           |   | 25X1  |
| 102  |          |              |           | AGENDA  | 20/(1 |
| 4.   |          |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 5.   | <u> </u> |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 6.   |          |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 7.   |          |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 8.   | -        |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 9.   |          |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 10.  |          |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 11.  |          |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 12.  |          | +            |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 13.  |          | <del> </del> |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
| 14.  |          |              |           |   |       |
|  |          |              |           | DCI   |       |
| 15.  |          | 1            |           | EXEC /  |       |
|  |          |              |           |   |       |
|  | 1        | 1            | 1         |   | 1     |

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

DCI/ICS 83-4256 4 March 1983

| MEMORANDUM FOR:  | Director of Central Intelligence  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
|--|---|---------------|
| VIA:   | Director, Intelligence Community Staf   | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
|  |   |               |
| FROM:  | Miss Eloise R. Page<br>Deputy Director, Intelligence Community Staff  |               |
| SUBJECT:   | Status: Transfer of Civil Space Remote Sensing Systems to the Private Sector  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
| operational land<br>and convey our c   | : To provide you a status report on the transfer of the civil and weather remote sensing satellites to the private sector ontinuing concerns regarding this issue.  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
| 2. Action national securit   | Requested: That you consider discussing the political and y implications with the President.  | 25X1          |
| 3. <u>Backgro</u>  | ound/Status:  |               |
| Pro Tempore decision me space remote memorandum 1982. The systems, in Security (firm is man was recognicommitment on commerciate DepSectoreply to the presignt of the systems of the syste | January 1983, Secretary Baldrige, in his role as Chairman, of the Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade (CCCT), sent a emorandum to the President regarding the transfer of the civil te sensing systems to the private sector (Tab A). This was to convey the results of the CCCT meeting of 15 December CCCT decided that (1) the US Government should transfer both a competitive process, as soon as possible; (2) National including intelligence) concerns can be accommodated; (3) a US indatory; and (4) Commerce will oversee the process. Of note ition of the need for a near-term enhanced Federal budget for these systems. The fundamental national security concerns italization were specified to Secretary Baldridge by the DCI and Def (Tab B and Tab C, respectively). Secretary Baldridge's the DCI (Tab D) noted that an interagency coordinating and body will be established at the Assistant Secretary level (noncointees, to ensure that the group is thoroughly knowledgeable |               |
| in Adminis   | tration policies).  | 25X1          |

b. The Commerce decision memorandum to the President did not altogether accurately represent the commercialization issue and the CCCT decision meeting. Subsequent to Commerce sending the memorandum to the White House, the Office of Cabinet Affairs requested CCCT member comments. Our proposed changes (Tab E) focused on:

This memorandum may be downgraded to UNCLASSIFIED upon removal of Tab C.

in Administration policies).

- National Security Implications: We specified a minimum set of changes to make certain that national security concerns would be accommodated consistent with your previously referenced memorandum to Baldrige on 3 January 1983. If the President proceeds with the commercialization, the Commerce-proposed establishment of an interagency coordinating body should provide us the forum in which to solve national security issues.
- Accuracy: We inserted language to reflect accurately the views of the Community on commercialization as gained through the work of the two Commerce Advisory Committees—one from the concerned Federal agencies and one from the private sector—and the decisions made at the CCCT meeting in mid-December 1982. Of primary concern was having the decision memorandum include the fact that there is considerable uncertainty about the near-term viability of a market for land remote sensing data. Similarly, there was uncertainty regarding USG costs associated with commercialization. The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Policy) and the Office of Science and Technology Policy made similar comments.
- Completeness: We added language to provide the Advisory Committees' less than optimistic perspective on this issue, and the view that if commercialization is chosen, the results of the competitive bid procedure should be provided to the President for his review prior to a final decision or selection. In this way, the President would gain a firm understanding of the cost implications of the decision.

25X1

25X1

25X1

c. On 25 February 1983, the Office of Cabinet Affairs circulated a second draft of the decision memorandum (Tab F) which incorporated most of our recommended changes. Accordingly, we have concurred in the decision memorandum and we understand that it is on its way to the President.

d. In parallel with commenting on the CCCT decision memorandum, we have been preparing to participate in the CCCT interagency coordinating and oversight body, e.g., in the drafting of the procurement documentation. As part of these preparations, we are undertaking jointly with the DoD a comprehensive review of civil remote sensing issues. We have been advised that the Secretary of Defense may wish to discuss this issue at a future breakfast meeting. When the item is scheduled, we will provide you with additional background on the joint efforts we are planning.

4. <u>Concerns</u>: The commercialization of both civil operational land and weather satellite systems is proceeding apace. For some time we have had concerns about the commercialization of these capabilities. However, in all of the deliberations, we focused on those aspects within the DCI purview (primarily national security). With a decision memorandum likely to go to the President recommending that he proceed with commercialization, it may now be appropriate to inform the President of our concerns and reservations. These are:

| a. At present, CIA uses civil land remote sensing data, along with a wide variety of human and other technical sources  CIA is concerned that the costs of such  | 25X1<br>25X1  |
|--|---------------|
| products to the CIA would likely increase with commercialization. CIA envisages an increased importance of LANDSAT-type products in the latter half of this decade, highlighting the need for and significance of continuity of land remote sensing capabilities. From the CIA viewpoint, commercialization of LANDSAT may be the only way of assuring the |               |
| availability of such products because no land remote sensing capabilities are currently budgeted after LANDSAT's termination in 1988.  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
| b. We are concerned that commercialization of the weather satellites may impede and unnecessarily complicate satisfaction of the worldwide national security weather support requirements. Their product—along with data collected by DoD weather satellites and data provided by foreign entities—is an integral part of an international                 |               |
| free exchange of weather information.  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |

- c. The Government Advisory Committees surfaced these points:
- There is a strong body of opinion that urges restraint and caution in proceeding with commercialization. The Decision Memorandum points out that implementing a commercial satellite system may involve some form of Government-assured market for a time. The level of need for such support, if any, could raise future budget outlays by as much as \$150 million per year above current budget projections;
- A monopoly environment may cause a potential lack of vigor in the R&D effort and lack of incentive to adopt improvements which may materialize. This situation would be counter to the Administration's policy of maintaining space leadership which implies continuation of remote sensing activities without interruption, and a vigorous and healthy R&D program. Government subsidization of the private sector would therefore seem inevitable if US leadership is to be maintained;
- Commercialization into a monopoly at this time could inhibit the free market process. Designation of a sole operator, which would have exclusive and proprietary rights to the data from acquisition to delivery under conditions of guaranteed subsidy and guaranteed tax incentives, would severely limit the degree of natural market development. This is antithetical to the underlying economic philosophy of the US and this Administration;
- The market for land remote sensing data has not developed to a point where commercial viability could be demonstrated within the next ten years;
- The most serious potential foreign competitors in the data market (France and Japan) plan to write off the costs for operation, thus making it doubtful that a US commercial venture would be able to compete internationally without government support; and

| -  | There is considerable financial, policy, and program risk to the Federal Government in commercializing weather satellites with no clear policy or financial benefit to be realized. There is only a small commercial opportunity because the government is the preponderant user of data produced by them.   | 25X1          |
|--|--|---------------|
|  | d. National Security-related concerns include:   |               |
| <b>-</b>   | There are intelligence applications of current data products as has long been demonstrated by CIA and more recently by DIA.  | 05.74         |
|  |  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
| <u>-</u>   | LANDSAT could provide foreign governments who do not already possess a photographic reconnaissance system the means for obtaining intelligence information from space. Systems improvements proposed under private sector control could increase national security concerns; and   |               |
| -  | There are technology transfer issues which might be exacerbated if a private sector operator became the worldwide supplier of remote   |               |
|  | sensing equipment.   | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
| now  | e. Aside from the national security issues, there is a concern that proceeding with the commercialization of both LANDSAT and METSAT as proposed, the President could find himself in a politically  |               |
| crit   | irrassing situation. He would be open to public and Congressional icism if no significant advantage can be cited-lessened government livement and reduced government fiscal outlays. The transfer could be seived as a give-away which is the essence of an article by   | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
|  | "Pio in the Sky." nublished in the Washington POST On  | 25X1          |
|  | ebruary 1983 (Tab G), and as was portrayed in a WRC-TV news broadcast on 17 February 1983.   | 25X1:5X1      |
| by_  |  | 20X1:0X1      |
| time does<br>process to<br>role. You<br>the Prest<br>to advise<br>thorough<br>security | Recommendation: The Presidential decision being requested at this not appear to be the final one, but it does commit the USG to a that will have considerable momentum toward an enhanced private sector ou may therefore wish to consider informally conveying our concerns to ident before he acts on the decision memorandum. It seems appropriate him to proceed with caution. He should require the CCCT to conduct analysis of the competitive bids and all fiscal, policy and national implications. If you decide to raise the issue with the President, detalking points are provided at Tab H. | 25X1          |
|  |  | 25X1          |
|  | Eloise R. Page   |               |
| Attachme   | nts: a/s   |               |
|  |  |               |

4 SECRET

## Approved For Release 2007/09/13 : CIA-RDP85M00363R001102400017-0 SEURE1

| SUBJECT:   | Status:<br>Private   | Transfer<br>Sector | of Civil | Space   | Remote | Sensing | Systems | to | the        |   |
|--|--|--------------------|----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|----|------------|---|
| 1-D/<br>1-DD<br>1-DD<br>1-OD<br>1-C/<br>1-D/<br>1-PF | CI<br>OCI<br>Recutive<br>YICS<br>O/ICS<br>OI/CRES,<br>O&E,<br>YCOMIREX | Registry           | 4256     |         |        |         |         |    | 25)<br>25) |   |
| DCI/ICS/F  | PPS  |                    | -!       | 03/03/8 | 33)    |         |         |    | 25>        | ( |